



EUROPEAN MEDICINES AGENCY
SCIENCE MEDICINES HEALTH

Report from the breakout session 1: Fitness of real-world data for regulatory purpose

Learnings Initiative webinar for Optimal Use of Big Data for Regulatory Purpose

30 November 2021

Presented by Kelly Plueschke (EMA)

An agency of the European Union





1. What information about real-world data should be included in regulatory applications to support decision-making?

- 1st step: clarify the research question(s) then look at the landscape of available RWD sources (RWDS), not the other way around!
- **Feasibility analysis can help identify which RWDS is/are fit for purpose:**
 - Wide overview of which RWDS exist, what do they collect, coverage/care settings, FQ of data updates, capture of medicinal products, (...), be aware of limitations (accept that there is no perfect RWD source, pros and cons) → Discussion as early as possible
 - Transparency is key! Importance of publication by data custodians of what data are collected, how and how they are validated → Catalogues of DS (EHDEN, Minerva, Data quality framework projects)
- RWD can provide real representativeness (e.g. patients characteristics) in terms of clinical context of disease (natural history and standards of care)
- Specific time points during development to consider the need for RWD in the frame
1 of the whole data package



2. The CHMP Guideline on registry-based studies recommends to perform a feasibility analysis as an early stage of considering a registry as data source for regulatory purpose. Is such feasibility analysis applicable to other RWD (e.g. electronic health care records)? Which should be additional aspects to be included?

- Broad understanding of RWE
- RWD not limited to registries and EHR
- Digital health technologies
- OTC products
- Patients reported outcomes: need to take into account patients perspective: how is this integrated into the RWDS? Guidance needed
- Informed consent : should be as large as possible to allow for research and data sharing (GDPR) → feasibility analysis



3. Should minimum quality requirements be established in submissions of RWD for regulatory purposes? A distinction can be made between:

- technical data elements
- information needed for regulatory decision-making
- Context specific! Depends what data elements are needed and which impact each have on study analysis/results; quality issues may sometimes be minimised/adjusted for
- Definition of quality: data collection? Mapping? Analysis?
- Importance of validation to increase confidence in data quality:
 - Studies (e.g. linkage cancer diagnosis in primary care with cancer registry)
 - RWDS → data quality management processes of the DS (feasibility analysis)
- Metadata : look at how well these are collected across RWDS



4. Are there any other important aspects of RWD to be addressed in submissions for regulatory purposes?

- Regulators and HTA/Healthcare payers interested in same data but for different purposes
- Take HTA needs into account as much/early as possible during drug development (e.g. comparative data against standards of care)
- Joined scientific advice:
 - Regulators/HTA
 - Regulators across the globe (→ clusters EMA/FDA/HC/MHRA + other regulatory agencies)
- Foster understanding of RWD and its application in regulatory decision making to “harmonise acceptance” → while consistency is there, continuous collaboration needed